

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

## Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America

**V.**

## Rajah Ray Williams

Date of Previous Judgment: August 3, 2004

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Case No: 5:04-CR-16-1F

USM No: 23955-056

Defendant's Attorney     Mary Jude Darrow

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of the \_\_\_\_\_ defendant \_\_\_\_\_ under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and the court having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 168 months **is reduced to** 135 months in Count 1.

If the amount of time the defendant has already served exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence, subject to an additional period of up to ten (10) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 33

Amended Offense Level: 31

Criminal History Category: III

Criminal History Category: III

**Previous Guideline Range:** 168 to 210 months

Amended Guideline Range: 135 to 168 months

## II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain) :

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The sentence reduction applies to Count 1 only. The consecutive sentence in Count 2 is unchanged.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated August 3, 2004 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: December 6, 2010

James E. Fry  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

James C. Fox, Senior U.S. District Judge  
Printed name and title